

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/069,455	<b>Applicant(s)</b> EREZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas McKenzie, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas McKenzie Ph.D.

(2) Sol Sheinbein.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2004

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were asked to provide a copy of the pending claims, which are not to be found in the eDAM version of the application. Applicants were also asked to supply the missing first two pages of the document discussing these new claims, which was also missing. Applicants promptly did so by FAX. The claims 1-40 will be entered. Applicants' cooperation is gratefully acknowledged. .